

The Hongkong Telegraph.

No. 3004

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 24, 1891.

SIX DOLLARS
PER QUARTER

Banks.

THE NEW ORIENTAL BANK
CORPORATION, LIMITED.

AUTHORISED CAPITAL £1,000,000.
PAID-UP CAPITAL £50,000

LONDON:

Head Office.....40, Threadneedle Street.
West End Office.....25, Cockspur Street.

BRANCHES IN INDIA, CHINA, JAPAN
AND THE COLONIES.

THE BANK receives MONEY ON DEPOSIT,
Buys and Sells BILLS OF EXCHANGE,
ISSUES LETTERS OF CREDIT, forwards BILLS for
COLLECTION, and Transacts Banking and
Agency Business generally, on terms to be had
on application.

E. W. RUTTER,
Manager. (10)

THE NATIONAL BANK OF CHINA,
LIMITED.

Authorized Capital £1,000,000
Subscribed Capital £500,000

HEAD OFFICE: HONGKONG.

Court of Directors:

D. Gillies, Esq., Chairman
Chow Tung Shing, Esq.
Chan Kit Shan, Esq.
C. J. Hirst, Esq.
W. Wotton, Esq.
Kwan Hoi Chuen, Esq.
A. B. McKean, Acting Chief Manager.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE IN

LONDON: THOMAS CARMICHAEL, Esq.—Messrs. Dent,
Palmer & Co.

JOHN BUTTERY, Esq.—Messrs. John Buttery &
Co.

C. B. STUART-WORTLEY, Esq., M.P., for Hallow,
GEO. MUNRO, Manager.

Bankers: The Alliance Bank (Ltd.)

SHANGHAI,

ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
Hui Yu Yuen, Esq., Chairman
Ma Kie Tcheng, Esq.
Tong Kwei Song, Esq.
J. D. THORNTON, Manager pro. tem.

Amoy.....H. Pinckney, pro. Agent.

Canton.....Shewan & Co.
Hongkong.....M. W. Gifford & Co.

Swatow.....A. R. Graves.
Yokohama.....R. M. Brown & Co.

Yokohama.....E. B. Watson.
Yokohama.....T. J. Wilson, Hermann & Co.

Yokohama.....Knowles & Co.
Yokohama.....Banque de l'Indo-Chine.

Yokohama.....Banque de l'Indo-Chine.
Yokohama.....A. L. Johnston & Co.

Yokohama.....Sandilands, Buttery & Co.
Yokohama.....National Bank of India, Ltd.

Yokohama.....Do.
Yokohama.....National Bank of India and the
Ceylon.

Yokohama.....Bank of Madras.
Yokohama.....Credit Lyonnais.

Yokohama.....The African Banking Corporation.

THE Bank is now prepared to make advances
on goods in neutral Godowns, and on
other securities, at rates to be obtained on
application; also to receive Money on Current
Account or Fixed Deposit.

Interest for 12 months Fixed, 5 per Cent.
Current, 4 per Cent.

For Rates of Interest for other periods apply
to the Manager.

Hongkong, 20th November, 1891. (147)

Amusements.

THEATRE ROYAL,
CITY HALL, HONGKONG.

UNDER the Patronage of His Excellency
Major-General G. DIGBY-BARKER,
Administering the Government.

ON

SATURDAY EVENING NEXT,
the 28th November,
FAREWELL ENTERTAINMENT

will be given by the Band and Lorne Athletic
Club of the Princess Louise's Argyl and
Sutherland Highlanders.

The following is the Band Programme:—
1. Overture, "William Tell".....Rossini.
2. Cornet Polka, "The Str of Eng".....Farrell.

3. Glee, "Winds gently".....Whitaker.
4. Air & Varié, "Mosaic".....Riviera.

5. Musical Joke, "The Jolly Musicians".....Muscat.
6. Grand Fantasia, "Episodes".....Kaprey.

Introduction—Rumours of War—Troops Assem-
ble—The Embarkation—On Board—Dis-
embarkation—The Camp at Night—The
Enemy attempts a Surprise—but is Felled
—Fire—Advance—Charge—The Battle—
Victory.

FINALE—"See the Conquering Hero comes."
"Rule Britannia."

Horizontal Bar,
Vaulting Horse,
Sword Exercises,
Musical Drill (by the Boys),
and Comic Boxing Scenes
by the Lorne Athletic Club.

Tickets, 2s and 1s, to be obtained at Messrs.
KELLY & WALSH, Limited, where a Plan of the
Seas may be seen.

Soldiers and Sailors, 25 Cents.

To commence at 8 p.m. The Surplus, if any,
will be devoted to the Garrison Female Hospital,
and Soldiers' and Sailors' Institutes.

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN,
Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. (144)

Intimations.

CARMICHAEL & Co., Ltd.

WINE AND SPIRIT MERCHANTS—TOBACCO AND CIGAR IMPORTERS,
GENERAL STOREKEEPERS AND COMMISSION AGENTS.

SHOOTING STOCKINGS FOR SPORTSMEN,
BUCKSKIN LEGGINGS.

FORPOISE HIDE BOOTS.

CANADIAN CREAMERY BUTTER AND CHEESE.

CARMICHAEL & CO. LTD.

Hongkong, 17th October, 1891.

18, Praya Central, Hongkong. (1168)

W. BREWER,

JAPANESE HAND PAINTED CHRISTMAS CARDS.

THE FINEST COLLECTION OF THE

SEASON IS NOW ON VIEW AT

W. BREWER'S,

UNDER HONGKONG HOTEL.

THE GERMAN-MAIL LEAVING ON SATURDAY NEXT

WILL REACH LONDON THE 23RD DECEMBER.

Hongkong, 18th November, 1891. (1159)

MOUTRIE, ROBINSON & CO.

(From 7, Broadwood & Sons and Collard & Collard.)
THE PIANO, ORGAN AND MUSIC WAREHOUSE,
UNDER HONGKONG HOTEL,
and at London, Shanghai, Kobe and Yokohama.

PIANOS SPECIALLY MADE FOR THIS CLIMATE AND GUARANTEED.
MONTHLY PAYMENTS OR HIRE.

TUNING—REPAIRS.

Instruments made equal to new. Large experience, all Machinery, trained men and Work guaranteed

OLD PIANOS TAKEN IN EXCHANGE.

16 YEARS extensive experience in China, and the only firm of trained and practical people
devoting themselves entirely to the Music and Musical Instrument Trade. (1789)

ROBERT LANG & CO.

NEW HATS.

BLACK, GREY AND BROWN FELTS

SINGLE TERAI HATS

(ALL SHADIES).

STRAW AND PITH HATS.

Hongkong, 5th June, 1891. (1156)

KELLY & WALSH, LD.

HAVE RECEIVED A LARGE SUPPLY OF THE

SWAN FOUNTAIN PEN.

THE "Swan" is a Mable, Todd & Co. Gold Pen joined to a rubber reservoir to hold any kind
of ink, which it supplies to the writing point in a continuous flow. It will hold ink enough
for two days' constant work, or a week's ordinary writing, and can be filled with as little trouble
as to wind a watch.

With the cover over the gold nib it is carried in the pocket like a pencil, to be used anywhere.

A purchaser may try a pen a few days, and if by chance the writing point does not suit his
hand, exchange it for another without charge.

\$4 EACH, WITH FILLER COMPLETE.

THERE ARE VARIOUS POINTS TO SELECT FROM, BROAD, MEDIUM AND FINE.

The Gold Pens in the "Swans" are Mable, Todd & Co.'s famous make. They are 14-carat
tempered gold, very handsome, and positively unaffected by any kind of ink. They are pointed
with selected polished iridium.

They will not penetrate the paper, and write's cramp is unknown among users of Gold Pens.
They are a perfect revelation to those who know nothing about Gold Pens.

KELLY & WALSH, LIMITED,

QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL, HONGKONG. (117)

CRUICKSHANK & CO., LD.,

FAMILY AND DISPENSING CHEMISTS,

AND

Commission Agents.

FINE SCOTCH WHISKY in 12 Bottles, \$1 per bottle.

ALWAYS ON HAND, OUR SPECIAL

LIQUEUR WHISKY, \$10 per Case.

ROYAL PALE SHERRY.

BEAUTIFUL *** BRANDY.

BASS' ALE, bottled by Read Bros., Bull's Head.

GUINNESS' STOUT, bottled by Read Bros., Bull's Head.

TOBACCO: "OUR BOYS" and RALEIGH PLUG CUT.

Hongkong, 20th November, 1891. (1178)

FOR SALE.

SADDLERY & STABLE REQUISITES

SADDLES, HARNESS, RIDING WHIPS, DRIVING WHIPS.

SADDLE CLOTHS, WEIGHT CLOTHS, CLOTHING, SURCINGLES,

STIRRUP LEATHERS, STIRRUP WEB, GIRTHS, BITS, &c.

CURRY COMBS, NEAVE COMBS, HORSE DANDY & SPOKE BRUSHES, BURNISHERS,

CHAMOISE LEATHERS, HARNESS OIL, HARNESS COMPOSITION, HARNESS POLISH,

SADDLE PASTE, BOOT-TOP FLUID, MELTONIAN CREAM,

RIDING and JOCKEY BOOTS, BOOT-TOPS.

LANE CRAWFORD & CO.

Hongkong, 10th November, 1891. (1358)

W. POWELL & CO.

A SPLENDID STOCK OF NEW GOODS.

Special for St. ANDREW'S HALL.

SILK SASHES for Leading Class.

SHOULDER BROOCHES, &c. &c.

THISTLES and HEATHER.

W. POWELL & CO.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. (14)

Insurance.

EXAMPLES OF THE COST
OF ASSURANCE TO A MAN AGED 30
NEXT BIRTHDAY.

£1,000 STG. payable at death, would
cost per quarter at the rate

of £6 18 0 (a) If premiums are payable for
whole of life:

or £9 11 6 (b) If premiums are limited to 20
years:

or £11 4 6 (c) If premiums are limited to 15
years:

or £13 0 0 (d) If the Sum Assured is made
payable at age 50, or at
death if previous.

* Secured payments.
THE same provisions if commenced at age 40
n. b. would cost respectively (a) £8.15.0,
(b) £11.5.0, (c) £12.2.0, (d) £13.8.0 per quarter.

DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,
Agents.

STANDARD LIFE OFFICE.

SOUTH BRITISH FIRE AND MARINE
INSURANCE COMPANY OF
NEW ZEALAND.

THE Underigned are prepared to accept
FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCES on
favourable terms.

Current rates and a guaranteed Bonus equal
to that paid by the local Offices.

GEORGE R. STEVENS & Co.,
Agents.

No. 2, Queen's Road, Hongkong.
Hongkong, 31st March, 1891. (1514)

NOTICE.

THE MAN ON INSURANCE COMPANY
LIMITED.

CAPITAL SUBSCRIBED.....\$1,000,000.

THE above Company is prepared to accept
MARINE RISKS at CURRENT RATES ON GOODS,
&c. Policies granted to all Parts of the world
payable at any of its Agencies.

WOO LIN YUEN,
Secretary.

HEAD OFFICE.
No. 2, QUEEN'S ROAD, WYKE.
Hongkong, 1st February, 1892. (1216)

GENERAL NOTICE.

THE ON TAI INSURANCE COMPANY,
(LIMITED.)

CAPITAL, TAELS 600,000; \$833,333-33-
EQUAL TO.....\$318,000.00.

RESERVE FUND.....\$318,000.00.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.
LEE SING, Esq., Chairman
LOU TAO SHUN, Esq., Secretary

MANAGER—HO AMEL.

MARINE RISKS ON GOODS, &c., taken
at CURRENT RATES to all parts of the
world.

HEAD OFFICE: 2, PRAYA WEST.
Hongkong, 17th December, 1891. (1178)

Consignees.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

FROM CALCUTTA, PENANG, AND
SINGAPORE.

CONSIGNEES OF CARGO, per Steamship
"ARRATON APCAR"

hereby informed, that their goods will
be delivered from alongside. Cargoes impeding the
discharge or remaining on board after the 23rd
instant, will be landed at consignee's risk and
expense into Messrs. N. Mody and Company's
Godown at Wharf.

No. Fire Insurance will be effected.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 21st November, 1891. (1411)

"MOGUL" LINE OF STEAMERS.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

STEAMSHIP "GH ZEE,"
FROM GLASGOW, LIVERPOOL AND
STRAITS.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo are hereby informed
that all Goods are being landed at their
risk into the Godowns of the Kowloon Wharf
and Godown Company, at Kowloon, whence
and/or from the wharves delivery may be
obtained.

Optional cargo will be forwarded unless notice
to the contrary be given before NOON.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods
have left the Godowns, and all Goods remaining
undelivered after the 30th inst., will be subject
to rent.

All claims against the Steamer must be pre-
sented to the Underigned on or before the 30th
inst., or they will not be recognized.

All broken chafed and damaged Goods are to
be left in the Godowns where they will be
examined on the 30th inst. at 3 p.m.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by
DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. (1415)

Shipping.

STEAMERS.

THE CHINA AND MANILA STEAMSHIP
COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR MANILA, VIA AMOY.

THE Company's Steamship

"ZAFIRO."

Captain Cobban, will be despatched for the
above Ports on THURSDAY, the 25th instant,
at 4 P.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to
SHEWAN & Co.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. (1418)

Shipping.

STEAMERS.

DOUGLAS STEAMSHIP COMPANY,
LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY AND TAMSUI.

THE Company's Steamship

"FOKJEN."

Captain Davis, will be despatched for the
above Ports, TO-MORROW, the 25th instant,
at Daylight.

For Freight or Passage, apply to
DOUGLAS LARRAIK & Co.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 21st November, 1891. (1413)

FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG AND
CALCUTTA.

THE Steamship

"ARRATON APCAR."

Captain G. B. Pallet, will be despatched for the
above Ports, on FRIDAY, the 27th instant, at
Noon.

For Freight or Passage, apply to
DAVID SASSOON, SONS & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. (1415)

"SHIRE" LINE OF STEAMERS.

FOR SINGAPORE, HAVRE, LONDON,
HAMBURG AND ANTWERP.

THE Steamship

"FLINTSHIRE."

Captain Dwyer, will be despatched as above
on TUESDAY, the 1st December.

For Freight or Passage, apply to
DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 18th November, 1891. (1412)

"UNION" LINE OF STEAMERS.

FOR NEW YORK (VIA SUEZ CANAL).

THE Steamship

"COLLINGHAM."

Captain Watson, will be despatched as above
on or about the 10th December.

For Freight or Passage, apply to
DODWELL, CARLILL & Co.,
Agents.

Hongkong, 20th November, 1891. (1418)

Mails.

CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY'S
ROYAL MAIL STEAMERS.

PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM
HONGKONG, 1891.

(SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.)

Empress of India, Tuesday... Dec. 8th.

Empress of Japan, Tuesday... Jan. 5th.

Empress of China, Tuesday... Feb. 2nd.

THE R. M. S.

"EMPERESS OF INDIA,"

5,000 tons, Captain O. P. Marshall, R.N.R.,
sailing at Noon, on TUESDAY, the 8th Dec.,
with Her Majesty's Mails, will proceed to VAN-
COUVER, via SHANGHAI, inland Sea,
KOBE and YOKOHAMA.

RATES OF PASSAGE.
(In Mexican Dollars).

FROM HONGKONG, FIRST CLASS.

TO

San Francisco, 225 338 394

Portland, 255 283 287

San Francisco, 275 413 482

Duluth, 285 428 499

Chicago, 295 443 517

St. Louis, 295 443 517

Milwaukee, 295 443 517

Detroit, 295 443 517

Cleveland, 295 443 517

Hamilton, 295 443 517

Buffalo, 295 443 517

Kingston, 295 443 517

Montreal, 295 443 5

ment could modify them in some way or other. I do not wish to do more than call the attention of the Government to it.

His Excellency—I should like to ask the Surveyor-General if he has any remarks to make on this matter.

The Acting Surveyor-General—I do not see any objection to giving notice except to the use of such an indefinite word as "reasonable." I should be quite willing to give two or three days' notice, where possible. I have no desire to interfere with the traffic or to interfere with anybody but it is absolutely necessary sometimes to block up a street suddenly. In the case of a water or gas main bursting, you have to close the street at once. Under ordinary circumstances two or three days' notice might be given.

The Acting Surveyor-General—As far as the amendment goes, it is quite clear that the power must be reserved in some way. In parks in England the Borough Surveyor has the power to shut up the roads. It seems to me it is quite unnecessary to put it in a proviso. I quite agree that the Surveyor-General should not shut up streets unnecessarily. If he does use his powers improperly (if there are any) there is every reason to believe he would be the proper person to be called in by the Governor and the Surveyor would be reprimanded and such an occurrence prevented in future. All the public services here are subject to the jurisdiction of the Governor. I think it inadvisable to make a hard and fast rule, which might have to be broken suddenly and thus be forced to commit an illegal act.

His Excellency—After what the Acting Surveyor-General has said I will not press the amendment in its present form, but I would ask to cut it in its present form, provided that it is inserted where such a proviso is inserted, public notice, wherever practicable, shall be given.

The clause as amended was agreed to.

Several minor amendments have been made to the Bill passed through Committee.

THE FURTHER APPROPRIATION BILL, 1891.

The Acting Attorney-General laid on the table the report of the Finance Committee on the Further Appropriation Bill, 1891, the votes in which were recommended by the Committee. The Council have given notice to the Committee on the Bill, it was passed through without amendment.

The Bill was read a third time and passed.

MERCHANT SHIPPING BILL.

The Council resumed consideration of this Bill in Committee.

On Section 39 which gives the Governor in Council power to make regulations for the licensing of boats and vessels.

Mr. Whitehead proposed the insertion after the words "boats and vessels" of the words "plying for hire." Private vessels should not be taxed the same as others. The Government might just as well license private chairs and hackneys.

The Acting Attorney-General said the very object of the clause was to give the Government power to license boats and vessels. It did not follow necessarily that they would be taxed. The clause existed in 1870 but was repealed in 1879. He proposed to leave it standing as at present, but if any hon. member could point out how these boats were injuriously affected by being brought within these regulations they could go back and reconsider it.

On Table A of the Schedule, which gives the rules and regulations as to the carrying of life-saving appliances, it was agreed to allow reasonable notice to shipowners.

Several tables were passed without discussion, progress being reported at Table K.

The Council adjourned to the 30th inst.

FINANCE COMMITTEE.

At the conclusion of the Council meeting a meeting of the Finance Committee was held, the Acting Colonial Secretary presiding, to consider the remaining items of the Appropriation Bill for 1892.

THE ECCLESIASTICAL VOTE.

The Chairman—With regard to the Appropriation Bill, 1892, the Finance Committee have dealt with the whole except two items which stood over, the ecclesiastical vote and the vote in connection with the Gaol. As regards the ecclesiastical vote I have talked carefully over with and taken His Excellency's instructions in the matter. It was a matter that required some consideration and I now propose to amend the grant by substituting for the words "Grant for Protestant and Roman Catholic chaplains, &c." the words "Grant for Protestant chaplains, &c." that is, putting in the plural. Last time we considered the vote it was objected to that it was proposed to give the Anglican chaplain a certain sum and not to give anything to the minister of the Union Church as the representative of a religious community and one who had just claim for remuneration. I use the words "just claim for remuneration" because they are the words of the Secretary of State. I should like to say a few words in explanation of this amendment. It seems to me that in the case of second thoughts are better than first. We give £750 to the Roman Catholic chaplain on the basis that there are 200 Roman Catholics, pass through the Gaol and Hospital every year. A small committee was then appointed to consider what sum should be given to the Church of England Chaplain. We arrived at the sum of £720 and we thought that at least the Protestant chaplains should get three times as much. At the time it was intended that the whole sum should go to the Anglican chaplain. No other religious body had put in any other claim, and it was rather assumed that the independent religious bodies, those who do not care to have any connection with the Government, preferred to be perfectly independent and that when they visited the Gaol or Hospital to hold services such as I have mentioned they looked upon them rather as works of charity and did not wish to receive anything from them. About this time, however, I received a letter from the Committee of the Union Church asking whether they might receive a portion of the grant, and their request seems to me a very reasonable one. I propose now to put in, in a rather larger sum than was put down for one chaplain, the distribution of which can be arranged afterwards. It is quite clear to my mind that the Union Church should come in for some share of this grant, and I propose to put down £3,000 instead of £2,100. We have taken as a basis the numbers for the whole of the year 1890 and the first half year of 1891. It appears during that time the total number of persons admitted to the Hospital, professing the Christian religion, other than Roman Catholics, was 728. We got these returns from the Hospital where they were very carefully made up. The number of prisoners admitted to the gaol professing the Christian religion and not being Roman Catholics, was 104. These figures being added together give a total of 832. Now the Secretary of State allowed a grant for the Roman Catholic Chaplain of £720 when the number of Roman Catholics for the year at the Gaol and Hospital was 200. The sum therefore becomes a very simple one. If the Roman Catholic Chaplain gets £720 for 200 persons how much should the Protestant chaplains get? The answer is, as 200 is to £720, so is 832 to £2,995.30. We have therefore put down a sum of £3,000. The exact provision as to what share is to go to each chaplain must be determined afterwards.

Mr. Whitehead—By whom?

The Chairman—I can hardly say at this moment. It is a provision for the year 1892. There is no objection to the proposal.

Mr. Whitehead—The Union Church did not make a claim because they did not know that any money was to be granted. The Anglican Church knew of it and put in a claim.

The Chairman—It has been part of the Colonial Chaplain's duties to hold these services, and now that he has been done away with, the Secretary of State said that the provision would have to be made for the Gaol and Hospital. Of course if the Union Church comes in the Chaplain will have to do his share of the work and hold services regularly.

Mr. Whitehead—It seems to me it is simply continuing the grant under a different heading and leaving it in a not very satisfactory manner. At all events I hope this money will not be apportioned for any hole-and-corner way as other matters have been done. As evidence of what I say I append that a Committee was appointed by the Government to enquire into the Gaol. There was a Public Works Committee but it was never consulted on the point.

After some further discussion the vote was agreed to.

It is sufficient for the Committee to confirm the allocation now and some arrangement can be made to afterwards with respect to the division of the vote.

Mr. Ho Kai—The Union Church did not make a claim because they did not know that any money was to be granted. The Anglican Church knew of it and put in a claim.

The Chairman—It has been part of the Colonial Chaplain's duties to hold these services, and now that he has been done away with, the Secretary of State said that the provision would have to be made for the Gaol and Hospital. Of course if the Union Church comes in the Chaplain will have to do his share of the work and hold services regularly.

Mr. Whitehead—It seems to me it is simply continuing the grant under a different heading and leaving it in a not very satisfactory manner. At all events I hope this money will not be apportioned for any hole-and-corner way as other matters have been done. As evidence of what I say I append that a Committee was appointed by the Government to enquire into the Gaol. There was a Public Works Committee but it was never consulted on the point.

After some further discussion the vote was agreed to.

THE PROPOSED NEW GAOL.

The Chairman—The next matter is with regard to the new Gaol. I am aware that there is a feeling of opposition on this point amongst some of the unofficial members.

Mr. Whitehead—Amongst all, not some. The Chairman—The Secretary of State has issued instructions that a new Gaol is to be erected and he was supposed that no proper provision for commencing the work was made in the estimate for the year. I say, as at once that the present despatches have been based on the reports, as I suppose valuable reports, that have been sent home, not in my time; it has been going on for years. The Secretary of State has devoted a great deal of time and attention to this subject and on these instructions it is quite clear that as far as the official members are concerned we cannot do anything but vote some reasonable sum to commence operations with next year. I have discussed the matter with His Excellency and he has instructed me to give, notwithstanding a sum of £10,000 has been put down, none of that money will be spent until properly detailed plans and estimates are made and submitted to the Public Works Committee. A Committee has been appointed and has gone very carefully into the matter and they have reduced the sites to two, Bonham Road or Causeway Bay. I am not in a position to say which is the better site and therefore it may seem a little strange to vote money before the site is fixed, but you are asked to make some provision for the money to be spent next year by the Government of which probably a definite site will be fixed upon.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol. The extension of the Gaol as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of what they had to give up. I was over-ruled and the report went in strongly recommending the building of a new Gaol, but the then Administrator, Mr. Fleming, with whom I had a personal interview, after going down to the spot on two occasions with my colleagues and myself, was, I think, almost convinced by me that my scheme was a good one. The then Administrator wrote home a despatch and strongly recommended that my scheme should be carried out. I have not seen the despatch, but I believe he wrote strongly on the matter and pointed out that the whole community were against building a new Gaol. Since then I have not heard anything beyond what I heard on the last occasion and on this occasion, and I venture to say that neither the new Gaol nor the extension is now necessary. I am informed on good authority that the number of prisoners at present in the Gaol is not so great as it was when the Gaol Commission was set up. The objection to the Gaol overcrowding is the fear of epidemics, diseases, or sickness. I am informed that the health of the prisoners in the Gaol could not possibly be better. The Gaol has been overcrowded for many years. I have sat on two commissions on overcrowding, and the health could not be better than at the present. In fact I believe the death rate in the Gaol is far less than in the best jails in England. I do not see the necessity for building a new Gaol when money is so necessary for the carrying out of several important works, with commencing a new Gaol. I would rather see the Gaol overcrowded than the Gaol extended. The extension of the Gaol, as originally proposed, not as the late Surveyor-General made up, could be done very easily for £50,000 or £60,000. I believe it was the estimate made up by the late Surveyor-General that the extension of the Gaol would cost £10,000 but £20,000 or £30,000 for the extension of the Gaol, but certainly not a penny for the building of a new Gaol.

Mr. Chater—I am strongly opposed to this vote. It appears to me that we are asked to find the money to build a new Gaol at an enormous cost at an unfortunate time and contrary to the wishes of the whole community. I may say I had the honour some little time ago to be appointed on a Gaol Commission and we went into this matter very thoroughly. It certainly was proved that there was overcrowding in the Gaol, but to no very great extent. Overcrowding there was no doubt, and in going into the question as to how overcrowding was to be done away with, my colleagues, the official members of the Commission, and I, thought that the best way of dealing with a new Gaol should be built and that we should begin by spending the small sum of £10,000. On questioning my colleagues as to what the final cost would be I was told, not less than a sum of £500,000. This small sum is only the beginning of the building of a new Gaol and the Colony will find itself burdened with the payment of a sum of £500,000, or probably, before the work is finished, £700,000 or £750,000. I need hardly tell you, sir, that I most strenuously oppose the vote, and I repeat what I said to the Government, and I may say that I proposed another scheme. That was the extension of the present Gaol by putting a new wing on the police compound and the extension of the Police barracks in view of

Commercial.

LATEST QUOTATIONS.

Hongkong and Shanghai Bank, -144 per cent. prem., sellers.

The National Bank of China, Ltd.-on 2.10 paid up, -75 per cent. dis. sales.

The National Bank of China, Ltd.-Founders' shares, \$235 per share, sellers.

The Bank of China, Japan & the Straits, Ltd.-181 per share, sellers.

The Bank of China, Japan & the Straits, Ltd.-Founders' shares, 2.10 per share, sellers.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1884 R-21 per cent. premium, buyers.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1884 C-2 per cent. premium, buyers.

Chinese Imperial Loan of 1886 E-14 per cent. premium.

Union Insurance Society of Canton-\$90 per share, buyers.

China Traders' Insurance Company-\$41 per share, sales and sellers.

North China Insurance-Tls. 255 per share, buyers.

Canton Insurance Company, Limited-\$106 per share, buyers.

Yangtze Insurance Association-\$100, buyers.

On Tai Insurance Company, Limited-Tls. 150 per share.

Hongkong Fire Insurance Company-\$317 per share, buyers.

China Fire Insurance Company-\$89 per share, buyers.

Hongkong, Canton, and Macao Steamboat Co.-\$341 per share, sellers.

China and Manila Steam Ship Company-\$50 per share, sellers.

Indo-China Steam Navigation Company, Limited-\$271 per cent. discount, sellers.

Douglas Steamship Company-\$38 per share, sales and buyers.

The Steam Launch Co., Limited-nominal.

Hongkong and Whampoa Dock Company-\$82 per share, premium, sales and buyers.

Geo. Fenwick & Co., Limited-\$14 per share, sellers.

Hongkong Hotel Company-\$50 per share, sellers.

Hongkong Hotel Co.'s Six per cent. Debentures-\$501.

The Austin Arms Hotel and Building Company, Limited-\$7 per share, buyers.

The Peak Hotel and Trading Co., Limited-nominal.

The Shamen Hotel Co., Limited-\$10, sellers.

Punjab and Sindh, Durrani Mining Co.-\$31 per share, sellers.

The Royal Gold Mining Co., Limited-70 cents per share, sellers.

Imuris Mining Co., Limited-\$91 per share, sellers.

The Balmoral Gold Mining Co., Limited-\$1 per share, nominal.

Tongkin Coal Mining Co.-\$35 per share, sellers.

The Jelabu Mining and Trading Co., Limited-\$44 per share, buyers.

The Selama Tin Mining Co., Limited-47 cents per share, sellers.

London and Pacific Petroleum Co., Ltd.-112, sellers.

China Sugar Refining Company, Limited-\$178 per share, sellers.

Luzon Sugar Refining Company, Limited-\$56 per share, sellers.

A. S. Watson & Co., Limited-\$191 per share, ex div. sellers.

Cruckshank & Co., Limited-nominal.

Hongkong Dairy Farm Co., Limited-\$7 per share, sellers.

The Hongkong Land Investment Co., Limited-\$10 per share, sellers.

The Hongkong Land Investment Co., Limited-\$75 per share, sellers.

The West Point Buildings Co., Limited-\$22 per share, buyers.

The Labak Planting Co., Limited-\$9 per share, sellers.

The China-Borneo Co., Limited-\$10 per share, sellers.

H. G. Brown & Co., Limited-\$40 per share, sellers.

Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company-\$65 per share, sales and sellers.

Hongkong Rope Manufacturing Company, Limited-\$109 per share, buyers.

Hongkong Gas Company-\$120 per share, nominal.

Hongkong Ice Company-\$83 per share, sellers.

Hongkong and China Bakery Company, Limited-\$75 per share.

The Hongkong Brick and Cement Co., Limited-\$10 per share, nominal.

The Green Island Cement Co.-\$14 per share, sellers.

The Hongkong Electric Light Co., Limited-\$5 per share, sales and sellers.

The Hongkong Steam Laundry Co., Limited-\$25 per share, nominal.

The Hongkong High-Level Tramway Co., Limited-\$55 per share, sellers.

EXCHANGE.

ON LONDON-Bank, T. T.3/0

Bank Bills, on demand3/0

Bank Bills, at 4 months' sight3/11

Credits at 4 months' sight3/11

Documentary Bills, at 4 months' sight3/11

ON PARIS-Bank, T. T.3/8

Bank Bills, on demand3/8

Credits, at 4 months' sight3/6

On India, T. T.221

On Demand221

ON SHANGHAI-Bank, T. T.73

Private, 30 days' sight73

Shipping.

ARRIVALS.

FRANK, Danish steamer, 307, C. L. Strand, 23rd Nov.-Fakhoi 20th Nov. and Hobow 22nd, General-Arnold, Karberg & Co.

FRANK, British steamer, 1,807, W. A. Whaler, 24th Nov.-Shanghai 21st Nov., Malla and General-P. O. S. N. Co.

LOO SOK, British steamer, 1,035, A. Benson, 24th Nov.-Bangkok 14th November, Rice and General-Yuen Fat Hong.

HAIYAN, British steamer, 1,183, S. Ashton, 24th Nov.-Fookchow 20th Nov., Amoy 22nd, and Swatow 23rd, General-D. Laprak & Co.

RIO, German steamer, 1,109, F. P. Ulderup, 24th Nov.-Wuhu 20th November, Rice-Wieler & Co.

MARIE, German steamer, 704, F. Petersen, 24th Nov.-Newchwang 18th Nov., Beas-Wieler & Co.

PREINO, German steamer, 994, F. Schuller, 24th Nov.-Shanghai 21st Nov., General-Siemssen & Co.

PEKIN, British steamer, 2,133, P. Harris, 24th Nov.-London 8th Oct., and Singapore 17th Nov., Malla and General-P. O. S. N. Co.

CHANG, Chinese steamer, 1,211, C. R. Noll, 24th Nov.-Shanghai 21st Nov. and Swatow 23rd November, General-C. M. S. N. Co.

B. F. HUNT, American barque, 1,100, John Hanard, 24th Nov.-New York 30th May, Kerosene Oil-Order.

CLEARANCES AT THE HARBOUR OFFICE.

Canton, British steamer, for Swatow, &c. Frick, Danish steamer, for Holbow, &c. Hambro, British steamer, for Singapore. Borneo, Dutch steamer, for Amoy. Gharat, British steamer, for Shanghai, &c. Hangchow, British steamer, for Saigon.

DEPARTURES.

November 24, Nanshan, British steamer, for Canton.

November 24, Don Juan, Spanish steamer, for Amoy.

November 24, Adria, Danish steamer, for Hal-phong.

November 24, Cosmopolita, German steamer, for Tourn.

November 24, Gharat, British steamer, for Shanghai.

November 24, Amigo, German str., for Hogo.

November 24, Canton, British str., for Swatow.

November 24, Borneo, Dutch str., for Amoy.

PASSENGERS-ARRIVED.

Per Piam, str., from Singapore, &c.-355 Chinese.

Per Qanfa, str., from Fookchow.-Mr. J. C. Oswald.

Per Tai-chong, str., from Singapore.-480 Chinese.

Per Hailan, str., from Fookchow, &c.-Mr. Pim, and 218 Chinese.

Per Peshawar, str., from Shanghai.-Mr. and Mrs. Keswick, Mrs. J. D. Scott and child, Messrs. J. F. Reece, F. W. Jenkins, A. La Rue, and 9 Chinese for Hongkong. For Europe.-Messrs. A. Pagasoni, M. Origo, C. Brydick, J. Ryan, A. P. Dexter, J. S. Evans, P. J. O. Dod, W. Drollington, C. Crawford, A. Scheler, and 124 Chinese.

Per Leo Sok, str., from Bangkok.-Miss Aiken, Captain D. Henderson, Messrs. Brown, Norman, 2 Europeans and 75 Chinese.

Per Frick, str., from Fakhoo, &c.-103 Chinese.

Per Pika, str., from London for Hongkong.-Mr. and Mrs. H. K. Sturges, Misses Sinclair, Codrington, Bryer, and Colonel Molloy. From Brindisi.-Mr. and Mrs. Merrill, and Mrs. R. Young. From Penang.-Mr. and E. L. Hunter. From Singapore.-Mr. P. Casgrain, 50 Chinese, and 2 boys. From London for Shanghai.-Mrs. Amy Foster, Misses Brown, Palmer, L. J. C. Cubitt, Widgery, Gower, Steinhous, Overy, K. Lay, Stanley, Messrs. A. Liggins and Norman. From Brindisi.-Mr. R. T. Price. From London for Yokohama.-Messrs. G. Lay and H. Baylow. From Colombo.-Mr. Mercer. From Bombay.-Major Lye. From London for Kobe.-Misses Wood, Tennant, and Howard.

REPORTS.

The German steamship Tai-chong reports that she left Singapore on the 15th instant. Had stormy weather all the time.

The British steamship Peshawar reports that she left Shanghai on the 21st instant. Had strong monsoon the whole passage.

The British steamship Qanfa reports that she left Fookchow on the 22nd instant. Had strong monsoon and high sea with fine clear weather.

The British steamship Nanshan reports that she left Newchwang on the 18th instant. From Newchwang to Saddle had light easterly wind and fine weather; thence to port had strong north-east monsoon and fine weather.

The British steamship Leo Sok reports that she left Bangkok on the 14th instant. From Bangkok to Cape Varella had light westerly winds and fine weather; thence to Hongkong had strong northerly gale and high sea.

The British steamship Hailan reports that she left Fookchow on the 20th instant. Had moderate breeze and fine but cloudy weather. Left Amoy on the 22nd. Had moderate breeze and fine weather with smooth sea. Left Swatow on the 23rd. Had strong north-east breeze and fine weather. In Fookchow the steamship Peshawar, in Amoy the steamships Benadi, Shikan, and H.M.S. P. S. S. In Swatow the steamships Taitan, Kurepai, and Aushiki.

The British steamship Piam reports that she left Liverpool on the 10th October, and Singapore on the 16th instant at noon. Had variable north-westerly winds with heavy rain to lat. 10° north; thence northerly and north-west winds with very heavy north-east sea and much rain to lat. 18° north; encountered a typhoon on the 21st, commencing at north-north-east, ran back and round the semi-western quadrant losing the gale at north-west; thence to port had fresh to strong north-east gales and rain. The last day fine weather.

The British steamship Pika reports that she left Singapore on the 17th instant at 2.30 p.m., and had light winds from south-west and west till morning of the 19th, then light increasing wind from north, heavy swell, barometer falling. On the 20th had moderate gale from north-west and high cross sea and unsettled looking weather. On the 21st at 2.30 p.m. had strong breeze from north-west and high confused breaking sea extending from north-north-west to east. Every indication of a typhoon to the north-east. Sailed about three hours to avoid it. Barometer ceasing to fall and commencing to rise at 5.30 p.m. resumed course to the north-east. At 9 p.m. barometer again falling and wind increasing from north-west and with high confused sea stood south till 3 a.m. on the 22nd. Barometer then steady at 29.6, but ship on the course to the north-east and at 6 a.m. the barometer commenced to rise. Fresh north-east gales. On Sunday afternoon and evening very heavy rain and lightning. At 10 p.m. sea so high and confused had to ease engines because of violent racing. Strong north-east monsoon the rest of the way, and fine weather from 4 a.m. to the end, and the barometer at noon on the 24th having risen steadily since 3 a.m. on the 22nd.

Post Office.

A MAIL WILL CLOSE.

For Yokohama and Kobe.-Per Pollux to-morrow, the 25th instant, at 9.30 A.M.

For Nagasaki, Kobe, and Yokohama.-Per Ancona to-morrow, the 25th instant, at 11.30 A.M.

For Saigon.-Per Hangchow to-morrow, the 25th instant, at 1.30 A.M.

A consignment from the AMERICAN ALGIN NATIONAL WARE COMPANY, Compiling.

A large and varied assortment of Ladies' and Gentlemen's GOLD and SILVER WATCHES of the Company's Celebrated Manufacture. An inspection is invited previous to the Auction.

TERMS OF SALE-Cash before delivery.

GORDON & Co., Auctioneers.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [1437]

SHIPPING IN HONGKONG.

ARRIVALS.

ANCONA, British steamer, 1,883, W. D. Media, 24th Nov.-Yokohama 21st Oct., Malla and General-P. O. S. N. Co.

ARRATON ACAR, British steamer, 1,992, J. G. Spence, 24th Nov.-Calcutta 1st Nov., Penang 9th, and Singapore 25th, Ophim and General-D. Laprak & Co.

HONGKONG-STEAMERS.

Continued.

ANCONA, Japanese steamer, 1,521, H. Selck, 23rd Nov.-Nagasaki 18th November, Coals.-Miss Bishi Collier.

CHOWPA, British steamer, 1,057, F. W. Phillips, 21st Nov.-Bangkok 9th Nov., General.-Yuen Fat Hong.

CITY OF PEKING, American steamer, 3,120, R. R. Searle, 17th Nov.-San Francisco 21st Oct., and Yokohama 11th Nov., Malla and General-P. O. S. N. Co.

CLARA, German steamer, 675, H. Island, 21st Nov.-Halphong 18th Nov., Rice.-A. R. Marty.

DORA FORSTER, Norwegian steamer, 1,270, D. Moryon, 23rd Nov.-Kutchinotzu 17th Nov., Coals.-Mitsui Bussan Kaisha.

EDENHALL, British steamer, 1,566, R. Humphrey, 15th October.-Kutchinotzu 9th October, Coals.-Mitsui Bussan Kaisha.

EMPRESS OF INDIA, British steamer, 3,003, O. P. Marshall, R.N.R., 18th Nov.-Yan-cou-wei 23rd Oct., Yokohama 10th Nov., and Shanghai 15th, General.-Doddwell, Carill & Co.

FAME, British steamer, 1,177, Captain McIsaac, -Hongkong Government tender.

FOKIN, British steamer, 509, W. Davis, 22nd Nov.-Shanghai 18th Nov., Tamsui 20th, and Swatow 21st, General.-Douglas Laprak & Co.

GENERAL WERDER, German steamer, 1,810, B. Blanke, 19th Nov.-Yokohama 10th Nov., Malla and General-Melchers & Co.

GWALIOR, British steamer, 1,464, J. F. Jepson, 23rd Nov.-Yokohama 14th Nov., Malla and General-P. O. S. N. Co.

HAMPSHIRE, British steamer, 1,700, M. H. Kerulsh, 20th Nov.-Kutchinotzu 15th Nov., Coal.-Arnold, Karberg & Co.

HANCOCK, British steamer, 1,003, J. D. C. Arthur, 22nd Nov.-Swatow 21st Nov., Ballast.-Butterfield & Swire.

HESPERIA, German steamer, 1,146, L. Madren, 23rd Nov.-Kobe 17th Nov., General.-Siemens & Co.

LIGHTNING, British steamer, 2,124, G. B. Pallett, 22nd Nov.-Calcutta 16th Oct., Penang 24th, and Singapore 27th, Ophim and General-D. Sassoon, Sons & Co.

NAMOA, British steamer, 863, Goddard, 10th Nov.-Fookchow 9th Nov., Amoy 6th, and Swatow 9th, General.-Douglas Laprak & Co.

PAKSHAN, British steamer, 835, J. Jenkins, 19th Nov.-Newchwang 13th Nov., Beas and General.-Hop Hing Hong.

PILOT FISH, British steamer, 161, A. Stepan, -Hongkong and Whampoa Dock Co.

POLK, British steamer, 828, H. Hellman, 21st Nov.-Canton 21st November, General.-Melchers & Co.

TALYUAN, British steamer, 1,459, R. Nelson, 19th Nov.-Fookchow, and Swatow 18th Nov., General.-Butterfield & Swire.

TAIPEI, German steamer, 828, J. Calendar, 22nd Nov.-Canton 22nd Nov., General.-Meyer & Co.

TAMARIND, Norwegian steamer, 885, L. Castberg, 21st Nov.-Canton 21st Nov., General.-Wieler & Co.

TAIPEI, German steamer, 1,342, F. Hegler, 18th Nov.-Samang 7th Nov., Sugar.-Geo. R. Stevens & Co.

VORWARTS, German steamer, 612, L. Moller, 21st Nov.-Hollo 17th Nov., Sapanwood.-Wieler & Co.

ZAITRO, British steamer, 675, A. W. R. Cobban, 23rd Nov.-Manila 20th Nov., Hemp and General.-Shewan & Co.

SAILING VESSELS.

ALTAR, British bark, 309, Munro, 21st Nov.-Newchwang 9th Nov., Beas.-Order.

ADAM W. SPIES, American bark, 1,171, Acting Captain, chief officer, 22nd Nov.-New York 4th June, Oil.-Order.

ARON, Norwegian bark, 634, A. Christensen, 22nd Nov.-Singapore 16th Oct., Timber.-Kwong Mow Tai.

COMET, German ship, 1,083, Kuppen, 21st Nov.-Cardiff 9th June, Coal.-Order.

ERIKSSON, Chinese bark, 457, United Examination hull, Stonocutt's Island.-Chinese Customs.

GEORGETTA, American bark, 415, Karsten, 15th Nov.-Newchwang 5th November, Beas.-Order.

IMACOS, Norwegian bark, 577, L. Olsen, 15th Nov.-Singapore 25th Sept., Timber.-Kwong Mow Tai.

LOTHIAN, British ship, 791, A. Shlafepu, 25th Oct.-Callao 13th August, Ballast.-Gonzales & Co.

NAN-AHUN-HEING, Chinese 3-m. schooner, 245, Leo Light Tong, 25th Sept.-Touren 8th Sept., Wood.-Yong Kee.

NICOVA, British bark, 504, T. Norris, 23rd Nov.-Bangkok 4th October, General.-Hong Lee.

SACHIN, American ship, 1,312, J. C. Bartlett, 11th November.-New York 17th June, Petroleum.-Jardine, Matheson & Co.

THIRIA, German bark, 300, W. Miller, 22nd Nov.-Manila 21st November, General.-Wieler & Co.

WM. LE LACKER, British bark, W. Reynell, 20th Nov.-put back, Ballast.-Order.

Auctions.

PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to Sell by Public Auction, TO-MORROW, the 25th November 1891, at 2.30 p.m., at their SALES ROOMS, No. 9, PRAYA CENTRAL, A consignment from the AMERICAN ALGIN NATIONAL WARE COMPANY, Compiling.

A large and varied assortment of Ladies' and Gentlemen's GOLD and SILVER WATCHES of the Company's Celebrated Manufacture. An inspection is invited previous to the Auction.

TERMS OF SALE-Cash before delivery.

GORDON & Co., Auctioneers.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [1437]

Hotels.

THE SHAMEN HOTEL.

BRITISH CONCESSION, CANTON.

THIS FIRST CLASS HOTEL, admirably situated within a few minutes walk of the River Steamer Wharves, is now open to receive Visitors.

The Bed-rooms are cool, airy and comfortably furnished, and the spacious Dining Room, Sitting Room, and accommodation generally will be found equal to the best Hotels in the Far East.

The Table D'Hôte is supplied with every luxury in season, and the cuisine is in expert good hands.

Wines, Spirits, Malt Liquors, &c., of the best quality only.

A WELL APPOINTED BILLIARD ROOM.

A. F. ROZARIO, Manager.

Hongkong, 1st November, 1891. [1198]

STEAMERS EXPECTED IN HONGKONG.

STEAMERS	FROM	DATE DUE	AGENTS
B. mby	Bombay	Nov. 24th	P. & O. S. N. Co.
Phra Chom Kiao	Bangkok	Nov. 26th	Yue Fat Hong
Chelydra	Calcutta	Nov. 26th	Jardine, Matheson & Co.
Blagoo	Bombay	Nov. 27th	Carlows & Co.
Prusen	Bremen	Nov. 27th	Melchers & Co.
Glengyle	London	Nov. 28th	Jardine, Matheson & Co.
Oceanic	San Francisco	Dec. 1st	O. & O. S. S. Co.
Empress of Japan	Vancouver	Dec. 11th	Doddwell, Carill & Co.

STEAMERS LOADING IN HONGKONG.

DESTINATION	VESSELS	AGENTS	DATE OF LEAVING
London, &c., via Suez Canal	Peshawar	P. & O. S. N. Co.	Nov. 26th, at noon
London, via Suez Canal	Pallurus	Butterfield & Swire	To-morrow
London, via Ports of Call.	Oanfa	Arnold, Karberg & Co.	About Nov. 25th
London, via Ports of Call.	Peking	Arnold, Karberg & Co.	About Dec. 1st
Manillea, via Saigon, &c.	Pinguey	Measeries Maritime	Dec. 2nd, at noon
Bremen and Ports of Call.	Nati	Melchers & Co.	Dec. 10th, at 3 p.m.
Havre, London, &c.	Flatsire	Doddwell, Carill & Co.	December 11th
New York, via Suez Canal	Hesperia	Siemens & Co.	Nov. 26th, at 10 a.m.
San Francisco, via Ythama	Collingham	Doddwell, Carill & Co.	About Dec. 10th
Vancouver, B.C., via S. S.	Oceanic	O. & O. S. S. Co.	Dec. 8th, at 1 p.m.
Sydney, Melbourne, &c.	City of Peking	Pacific Mail S. S. Co.	To-morrow, at 1 p.m.
Calcutta, via Straits	Empress of India	Doddwell, Carill & Co.	Dec. 8th, at noon
Straits and Bombay	Taiyuan	Butterfield & Swire	November 30th
Singapore	Aratoun Apar	D. Sassoon, Sons & Co.	Nov. 27th, at noon
Kochama, via Nag, &c.	Bisago	P. & O. S. N. Co.	Dec. 5th, at noon
Yokohama, Kobe, Nagasaki	General Werder	Arnold, Karberg & Co.	About Dec. 25th
Tientsin	Kwongsang	Melchers & Co.	To-morrow, at noon
Shanghai, via Amoy	Prism	Jardine, Matheson & Co.	About Nov. 28th
Manila, via Amoy	Pekin	Butterfield & Swire	To-morrow, at 3 p.m.
Swatow, Amoy and Tamsui	Zafiro	P. & O. S. N. Co.	Nov. 26th, at 1 p.m.
Swatow, Amoy, Fookchow	Foklen	Douglas Laprak & Co.	To-morrow, daylight
	Halat	Douglas Laprak & Co.	Nov. 26th, at 11 a.m.

Intimations.

THE CRITERION DINING SALOON AND BUFFET, 21 & 23, POTTINGER STREET.

THE only establishment in Hongkong where meals are served at all hours for FIFTY CENTS EACH PERSON. PRIVATE DINING ROOMS, replete with every convenience.

Arrangements for SPECIAL DINNERS, PICNICS, and LAUNCH PARTIES, with attendance provided, on most reasonable terms.

The services of an eminent French Chef have been secured, and the cuisine is unrivalled.

Proprietor and Manager-Mr. EDMUND FUCHS, late Lessee and Manager of "THE TEMPLE BAR," Bombay.

Hongkong, 7th November, 1891. [1400]

INTIMATION.

BOARD AND LODGING.

VACANCIES for GENTLEMEN BOARDERS, at 79, Wyndham Street.

Apply to Mrs. SWANSTON, Hongkong, 10th September, 1891. [1200]

F. Blackhead & Co., SHIP-CHANDLERS, SAIL-MAKERS, and PROVISION MERCHANTS, NAVY CONTRACTORS, & GENERAL COMMISSION AGENTS, No. 11, Praya Central, (Opposite Padder's Wharf).

SOLE AGENTS FOR RAHTIEN'S GENUINE COMPOSITION FOR THE BOTTOMS OF IRON SHIPS. HARTMANN'S GREY PAINT, specially manufactured for coating the inside of STEEL SHIPS. SPECIALLY SELECTED. EX. PRIME PORK AND BEEF in Barrels. Also AMERICAN PRIME SUGAR-CURED HAMS and BACON. CHR. MOITZ & Co., BORDEAUX CLARETS. CEMENT from the celebrated Factory of Hem-moor. FLENSBURG STOCK BEER, ENGINEERS' AND BLACKSMITHS' MACHINERY AND TOOLS. EVERY KIND OF SHIP'S STORES AND REQUISITES ALWAYS IN STOCK. REASONABLE PRICES. ALL KINDS OF COALS SUPPLIED AT THE SHOT TEST NOTICE.

Des Coleaux and its finest old BRANDY COGNAC, 4 Stars, selected expressly for F. B. & Co., Sole Agents.

SOLE AGENTS FOR CHINA AND JAPAN FOR THE TULE LIFE PRESERVER AND RAFT. Manufactured by the LEDUC TULE IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, SAN FRANCISCO, CAL. Hongkong, 10th November, 1891. [132]

NOTICE. JEVY'S SANITARY COMPOUNDS COMPANY, LIMITED. JEVY'S WOOD PRESERVER OR ANTISEPTIC PAINT.

THE Undersigned have this day been appointed SOLE AGENTS for the sale of these PERFECT DISINFECTANTS, and are prepared to supply quantities to suit merchants, Wholesale and Retail. Extra Special for Shipping and Large Orders. 81 ROBERT RAWLINSON, C.B., C.E., Chief Sanitary Engineer, Local Government Board, London, says "It is the best Disinfectant in use." W. G. HUMPHREYS & Co., Bank Buildings, Hongkong, 10th June, 1888. [12]

G. FALCONER & Co., WATCH and CHRONOMETER MANUFACTURERS, JEWELLERS, NAUTICAL INSTRUMENTS, CHARTS and BOOKS. No. 4, Queen's Road Central. [108]

AN ANTISEPTIC PAINT for the Preservation of Wood, Walls, Ropes, and Ship's Tackle. May be applied to Booms, Floors, Windows, Wooden Ornaments, Flares, Rods, Wooden Sheds, Farmers' and Gardeners' Implements, Carts, Poles, Fences, Stables, Gates, Bridges, Boats, and all Timber work. It is painted with it and entirely prevents the crumbling away and decay of both stone and brick. Whilst it does not touch wood painted with Carbolineum Avenarius. Used during the last 24 years with the most success, as proved by numerous Testimonials from living authorities. Sold in casks of about 450 lbs. net. Price 5 cents per lb. For further particulars apply to SCHEELE & Co., Sole Agents, No. 14, Battery Road, Hongkong and Shanghai, 1891. [14]

CHS. J. GAUPP & Co., CHRONOMETER, WATCH, and CLOCK-MAKERS, JEWELLERS, SILVER-SMITHS, and OPTICIANS. CHARTS and BOOKS. Sole Agents for Louis. Andersen's Watch as awarded the highest Prize at every Exhibition, and for Veiglander and Schindler's CELEBRATED OPERA GLASSES. MARINE GLASSES and SPYGLASSES. No. 2, Queen's Road Central. [168]

CAROLINEUM AVENARIUS (REGISTERED). AN ANTISEPTIC PAINT for the Preservation of Wood, Walls, Ropes, and Ship's Tackle. May be applied to Booms, Floors, Windows, Wooden Ornaments, Flares, Rods, Wooden Sheds, Farmers' and Gardeners' Implements, Carts, Poles, Fences, Stables, Gates, Bridges, Boats, and all Timber work. It is painted with it and entirely prevents the crumbling away and decay of both stone and brick. Whilst it does not touch wood painted with Carbolineum Avenarius. Used during the last 24 years with the most success, as proved by numerous Testimonials from living authorities. Sold in casks of about 450 lbs. net. Price 5 cents per lb. For further particulars apply to SCHEELE & Co., Sole Agents, No. 14, Battery Road, Hongkong and Shanghai, 1891. [14]

REWARD OF \$500 will be paid to any person supplying information that will lead to the discovery and identification of a Chinese girl named LI APAT, who, in or about the month of September, 1888, was resident at St. Francis Street, Wanchai, in the house of JOHN MINHINNETT, an overseer in the Hongkong Public Works Department, under the protection of a Chinese kept woman named WONG AH NGAN. LI APAT is about 10 years of age, and according to a declaration made by WONG AH NGAN at the Magistracy, she was returned to her mother about three years ago-presumably to some village in the Kwangtung Province, where it is stated she died a short time afterwards. On the other hand JOHN MINHINNETT deposed on oath in the Supreme Court that LI APAT was sold by WONG AH NGAN and that he was present in his own house when the purchase money was paid; and it has since been reported that the girl was taken to Singapore for immoral purposes. A Reward of \$500 will be paid to any person who shall produce reliable evidence, showing that LI APAT was returned to her mother, in or about September, 1888, and afterwards died as alleged. Apply to THE EDITOR, The Hongkong Telegraph, Hongkong, 24th August, 1891. [1156]

HONGKONG TIMBER YARD, WANCHAI. OREGON PINE SPARS and LUMBER Always on Hand. L. MALLORY, Hongkong, 24th June, 1891. [190]

THE KOWLOON LAND AND BUILDING COMPANY, LIMITED. TO LET. AT KOWLOON. A FEW HOUSES IN KNOTSFORD TERRACE containing 4 Rooms each and Bath-rooms, Tennis Courts. Healthy situation. Cheap Rent. Apply to THE HONGKONG LAND INVESTMENT & AGENCY Co., Ltd. Hongkong, 5th August 1891. [1080]

TO LET. BAHAR LODGE, THE PEAK. R. B. LOT No. 59. THIS desirable residence, with Gas laid on to be Let Furnished or Unfurnished. Apply to HONGKONG LAND INVESTMENT AND AGENCY Co., Ltd. Hongkong, 17th May, 1891. [1710]

TO LET. With Immediate Possession. AT KOWLOON DOCK BAY. A BUNGALOW with 5 Rooms, a large Tennis Court and Garden. Moderate Rent. Apply to B. A. ERANEE, c/o Victoria Hotel, Hongkong, 30th October, 1891. [1479]

TO LET. Rooms in Pelder's Street on First Floor, Suitable for offices. Apply to CRUCKSHANK & Co., Ltd. Hongkong, 20th November, 1891. [105]

NOTICE. NATIONAL BANK OF CHINA, LIMITED. NOTICE is hereby given that all Shares on which CALLS are now overdue will be FORFEITED if such CALLS be not paid by 25th November, with INTEREST from the 16th October at 10 per cent. per Annum. By order of the Court of Directors. A. B. MCKEAN, Acting Chief Manager. Hongkong, 20th November 1891. [1410]

WANTED. ENGLISH CLERK seeks position in Hongkong or elsewhere. Thoroughly Shorthand Writer in French and English languages, also good Spanish. Correspondent. Exact typewriter. Considerable experience in Parisian and London firms. Good testimonials. Disengaged shortly. "STENO." Hongkong Telegraph Office. Hongkong, 14th November, 1891. [1416]

CHINA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, LIMITED. ADJUSTMENT OF BONUS FOR THE YEAR 1890. SHAREHOLDERS are hereby requested to send in to this Office a List of their Contributions of Premium for the year ending 31st December last, in order that the proportion of Profit for that year to be paid as Bonus to Contributors may be arranged. Returns not sent in before the 30th instant will be made up by the Company, and no subsequent claims or alterations will be allowed. By Order of the Directors, JAS. B. COUGHTRIE, Secretary. Hongkong, and November, 1901. [1325]

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED. TO AERATED WATER MANUFACTURERS AND OTHERS. NOTICE is hereby given that all AERATED WATER BOTTLES and SYPHONS bearing the Company's Name and Trade Mark are its property solely, and that any Manufacturer using the same or any Person or Persons other than the Customers of the Firm found in possession of the same will be proceeded against as the law directs. A. H. MANCELL, Secretary. Hongkong, 18th August, 1901. [1123]